

TERRESTRIAL BIODIVERSITY COMPLIANCE STATEMENT FOR THE PROPOSED MINING PERMIT APPLICATION AND STOCKPILE AREA.

Lekwa Local Municipality, Gert Sibande District Municipality, Mpumalanga Province, South Africa

22/05/2024

Prepared by: The Biodiversity Company Cell: +27 81 319 1225 Fax: +27 86 527 1965 info@thebiodiversitycompany.com www.thebiodiversitycompany.com Terrestrial Biodiversity Theme

Roodekrans



Report Name	TERRESTRIAL BIODIVERSITY COMPLIANCE STATEMENT FOR THE PROPOSED MININ PERMIT APPLICATION AND STOCKPILE AREA.	G
Specialist Theme	Terrestrial Biodiversity Theme	
Project Reference	Roodekrans	
Report Version	Draft 1 / 2025/01/31	
Environmental Assessment Practitioner	as annined letter	
Fieldwork and Report Writer	Dr Gareth Walker (SACNASP 163013 (Pending))	
Reviewer	Andrew Husted (400213/11)	
Declaration	The Biodiversity Company and its associates operate as independent consultants under the auspice of the South African Council for Natural Scientific Professions. We declare that we have affiliation with or vested financial interests in the proponent, other than for work performed under the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations, Amended. We have no conflicting interests the undertaking of this activity and have no interests in secondary developments resulting from the authorisation of this project. We have no vested interest in the project, other than to provide professional service within the constraints of the project (timing, time and budget) based on the principals of science.	he no Jer in the a the

Table of Contents

1	Introduction5
1.1	Background5
1.2	Project Description7
1.3	Scope of Work7
1.4	Assumptions and Limitations7
1.5	Legislative Framework7
2	Fieldwork9
2.1	Biodiversity Field Assessment9
3	Results & Discussion10
3.1	Ecologically Important Landscape Features10
3.2	Fauna Species of Conservation Concern10
3.3	Flora Species of Conservation Concern10
3.4	Desktop Ecological Sensitivity11
3.5	Biodiversity Field Survey15
3.6	Site Sensitivity Verification16
3.6.1	Habitats and Site Ecological Importance (SEI)16
3.6.2	Screening Tool Comparison18
4	Impact Management and Mitigation Plan19
5	Conclusion22
5.1	Impact Statement
5.2	Specialist Opinion22
5.3	Revised layout of the PAOI22
6	References
7	Appendix Items25
7.1	Appendix A: Methods25
7.1.1	Desktop Dataset Assessment25
7.2	Appendix B: Terrestrial Site Ecological Importance27
7.3	Appendix C – Specialist Declaration of Independence
7.4	Appendix D – Specialist CVs



List of Tables

Table 1-1	Terrestrial Biodiversity Compliance Statement information requirements as per the relevant protocol, including the location of the information within this report
Table 3-1	Summary of relevance of the proposed project to ecologically important landscape features
Table 3-2	Threatened fauna species that are expected to occur within the PAOI. EN = Endangered and VU = Vulnerable
Table 3-3	Threatened flora species expected to occur within the PAOI. VU = Vulnerable10
Table 3-4	Summary of the field survey conducted within the PAOI15
Table 3-5	Summary of habitat types and associated SEIs delineated within the PAOI16
Table 3-6	Summary of the screening tool vs specialist assigned sensitivities
Table 4-1	Project specific mitigation measures including requirements for timeframes, roles, and responsibilities
Table 7-1	Summary of Conservation Importance (CI) criteria27
Table 7-2	Summary of Functional Integrity (FI) criteria
Table 7-3	Matrix used to derive Biodiversity Importance (BI) from Functional Integrity (FI) and Conservation Importance (CI)
Table 7-4	Summary of Receptor Resilience (RR) criteria
Table 7-5	Matrix used to derive Site Ecological Importance from Receptor Resilience (RR) and Biodiversity Importance (BI)
Table 7-6	Guideline for interpreting Site Ecological Importance in the context of proposed activities.



List of Figures

Figure 1-1	Map illustrating the regional context of the Project Area of Influence (PAOI)6
Figure 1-2	Map depicting the proposed layout of the PAOI
Figure 2-1	Map illustrating the surveyed Points of Interest (POI)9
Figure 3-1	Map depicting the relative terrestrial biodiversity theme sensitivity for the PAOI as generated for by the environmental screening tool
Figure 3-2	Map depicting the relative animal theme sensitivity for the PAOI as generated for by the environmental screening tool
Figure 3-3	Map depicting the relative plant theme sensitivity for the PAOI as generated for by the environmental screening tool
Figure 3-4	Map depicting the Site Ecological Importance (SEI) sensitivity for the PAOI17
Figure 3-5	Map depicting the habitat types defined within the PAOI17
Figure 5-1	Revised layout of the PAOI (received 50/08/2024)23
Figure 5-1	Revised layout of the PAOI (received 29/01/2025)

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

The Biodiversity Company was appointed to undertake a terrestrial biodiversity assessment for the proposed mining permit application and stockpile area near Morgenzon in the Gert Sibande Local Municipality, Mpumalanga Province of South Africa.

The proposed development area – which includes the area where mining activities are proposed as well as the corresponding stockpile area – was allocated a 100 metre (m) buffer, and is collectively referred to as the Project Area of Influence (PAOI) from here on in. A map illustrating the regional locality of the PAOI is depicted in Figure 1-1, and a map showing the proposed layout of the PAOI can be seen in Figure 1-2.

To determine the baseline ecological state of the PAOI and to present a detailed description of the receiving environment, a desktop assessment and field survey (conducted on the 17th of April 2024) were completed in tandem. Both the desktop assessment and field survey involved the detection, identification, and description of any locally relevant sensitive receptors. The potential risks that the proposed development would have on the sensitive features was also investigated.

This assessment was conducted in accordance with the amendments to the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations, 2014 (No. 326, 7 April 2017) of the National Environmental Management Act (NEMA), 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998). The approach has taken cognisance of the recently published Government Notice 320 in terms of NEMA dated 20 March 2020 as well as the Government Notice 1150 in terms of NEMA dated 30 October 2020: "Procedures for the Assessment and Minimum Criteria for Reporting on Identified Environmental Themes in terms of Sections 24(5)(a) and (h) and 44 of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998, when applying for Environmental Authorisation". The National Web based Environmental Screening Tool has characterised the terrestrial biodiversity theme for the area as 'Very High' sensitivity (National Environmental Screening Tool, 2024).

The purpose of conducting the specialist study is to provide relevant input into the Environmental Authorisation application process, with a focus on the proposed activities and the impacts associated with the project. This report, after taking into consideration the findings and recommendations provided by the specialist stipulated herein, should inform, and guide the Registered Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP) and regulatory authorities, enabling informed decision making as to the ecological viability of the proposed project.

Terrestrial Biodiversity Theme

Roodekrans





Figure 1-1 Map illustrating the regional context of the Project Area of Influence (PAOI).



Figure 1-2 Map depicting the proposed layout of the PAOI.

1.2 **Project Description**

At the time of reporting, specific details pertaining to the project had not been provided for. Such details need to be made accessible at a later stage.

1.3 Scope of Work

The aim of the biodiversity assessment was to provide information to guide the risk of the proposed development on the current state of the associated ecosystems within the PAOI. The was achieved through the following:

- Desktop assessment to identify the ecologically important terrestrial features within the PAOI;
- Desktop assessment to identify possible Species of Conservation Concern (SCC) that may occur within the PAOI;
- Field survey to identify and record flora and fauna species, (especially SCC) within the PAOI;
- Determine the Site Ecological Importance (SEI) also commonly referred to as the sensitivity of the PAOI; and
- The prescription of mitigation measures for identified risks associated with the proposed development.

1.4 Assumptions and Limitations

The following assumptions and limitations are applicable for this assessment:

- It is assumed that all information received from the client and landowner is accurate;
- All datasets accessed and utilised for this assessment are considered to be representative of the most recent and suitable data for the intended purposes;
- The assessment area (PAOI) was based on the footprint areas as provided for by the client, and any alterations to the area and/or missing GIS information pertaining to the assessment area would have affected the area surveyed and hence the results of this assessment;
- A single site survey conducted on the 17th of April 2024 was completed. Therefore, this
 assessment does not consider temporal/seasonal trends. However, it is the opinion of the
 specialist stipulated herein that both the desktop and field data collected is sufficient enough to
 derive a meaningful baseline;
- Whilst every effort was made to cover as much of the PAOI as possible, representative sampling was completed. Consequently, it is possible that some fauna and flora species present within the PAOI may have not been recorded during the field survey; and

The GPS used in the assessment has an accuracy of 5 m and consequently any spatial features may be offset by up to 5 m.

1.5 Legislative Framework

In line with the protocol for the specialist assessment and minimum report content requirements for environmental impacts on terrestrial biodiversity, as per Government Notice 320 published in terms of NEMA, dated 20 March 2020: "Procedures for the Assessment and Minimum Criteria for Reporting on Identified Environmental Themes in terms of Sections 24(5)(a) and (h) and 44 of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998, when applying for Environmental Authorisation" – section 3, subsection 1:

• An applicant intending to undertake an activity identified in the scope of the protocol, on a site identified by The Screening Tool as being of a 'Very High' terrestrial biodiversity sensitivity, is required to submit a Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment; however

• Where the information gathered from the site sensitivity verification differs from the designation of 'Very High' terrestrial biodiversity sensitivity on the screening tool and is instead found to be of a 'Low' sensitivity, then a Terrestrial Biodiversity Compliance Statement must be submitted.

The information obtained from a site sensitivity verification, which involved both a desktop assessment as well as a field survey, confirmed that the proposed PAOI is of a 'Low' sensitivity. Therefore, this report constitutes a Terrestrial Biodiversity Compliance Statement.

As per sections 2 and 3 of the protocol discussed above, a Terrestrial Biodiversity Compliance Statement must contain the information as presented in Table 1-1 below.

Table 1-1Terrestrial Biodiversity Compliance Statement information requirements as per
the relevant protocol, including the location of the information within this report.

Information to be Included (as per GN 320, 20 March 2020)	Report Section
Methodology used to undertake the site assessment and survey, and prepare the compliance statement, including relevant equipment and modelling used	7.1
Description of the assumptions and any uncertainties or gaps in knowledge or data	1.4
A baseline profile description of biodiversity and ecosystems of the site	3.2 & 3.3
Site sensitivity verification: Desktop Analysis using satellite imagery and available information	3.1
A statement on the duration, date, and season of the site inspection	2.1
Site sensitivity verification: Onsite inspection, including a description of current land use and vegetation found on-site	3.6
Site sensitivity verification: Photographs/evidence of environmental sensitivity	3.6
Screening tool confirmation/dispute: The assessment must verify the "Low" sensitivity of the site, in terms of plant, animal, and terrestrial biodiversity themes	3.6.2
Proposed impact management outcomes or monitoring requirements for inclusion in the EMPr	4
Indicate whether the proposed development will have any impact on the terrestrial environment, animals and/or plants	5
A signed statement of independence by the specialist	7.3
Specialist details, including a CV	7.4

A signed copy of the compliance statement must be appended to the Basic Assessment Report or Environmental Impact Assessment Report.

the BIODIVERSITY company

Roodekrans

2 Fieldwork

2.1 Biodiversity Field Assessment

A single season field survey was conducted on the 17th of April 2024 – constituting a late wet/early dry season survey – to delineate the various habitat types and determine their associated sensitivities, and to determine the presence of any local fauna and flora SCC within the PAOI. Every effort was made to cover all the respective habitat types within the PAOI within the limits of time, accessibility, and security. The site visit conducted by the specialist stipulated herein is considered sufficient for the proposed project (Figure 2-1).



Figure 2-1 Map illustrating the surveyed Points of Interest (POI).

3 Results & Discussion

3.1 Ecologically Important Landscape Features

Table 3-1 below has been produced from the spatial data collected and analysed as provided for by relevant sources. It presents a summative breakdown of the ecological boundaries considered and the associated relevance that each has to the region or PAOI.

Table 3-1Summary of relevance of the proposed project to ecologically important
landscape features.

Desktop Information Considered	Relevance	Reasoning
Ecosystem Threat Status	Relevant	Overlaps with a 'Least Concern (LC)' Ecosystem (RLE, 2021).
Ecosystem Protection Level	Relevant	Overlaps with a 'Not Protected (NP)' Ecosystem.
Provincial Conservation Plan	Relevant	Portions of the PAOI overlap with Critical Biodiversity Areas (CBA).
SAPAD & SACAD	Irrelevant	Does not overlap with any Protected or Conservation Areas.
National Protected Areas Expansion Strategy	Relevant	Portions of the PAOI overlap with Priority Focus Areas (NPAES, 2018).
Important Bird & Biodiversity Areas (IBA)	Irrelevant	Does not overlap with any IBAs.
South African Inventory of Inland Aquatic Ecosystems (SAIIAE)	Relevant	A small portion of the PAOI overlaps with a 'Not Protected (NP)' Inland Aquatic Ecosystem.
National Freshwater Priority Area	Irrelevant	Does not overlap with any NFEPA Wetlands or Rivers.

3.2 Fauna Species of Conservation Concern

The Screening Tool indicates that one (1) avifauna and two (2) mammalian SCC are predicted to occur within the PAOI (Table 3-2). The likelihood of each species occurring within the PAOI is low.

Table 3-2Threatened fauna species that are expected to occur within the PAOI. EN =
Endangered and VU = Vulnerable.

Group	Taxonomic Name	Common Name	IUCN	Likelihood Of Occurrence
Mammalia	Crocidura maquassiensis	Makwassie Musk Shrew	VU	Low
Mammalia	Ourebia ourebi	Oribi	EN	Low
Aves	Tyto capensis	African Grass Owl	VU	Low

3.3 Flora Species of Conservation Concern

The Screening Tool indicates that four (4) flora SCC are predicted to occur within the PAOI (Table 3-3). The likelihood of each of these species occurring within the PAOI is low. Moreover, none (0) were confirmed within the PAOI during the site survey.

Please note that the Screening Tool report includes lists of bird, mammal, reptile, amphibian, butterfly, and plant species of conservation concern known or expected to occur on the proposed development footprint. Some of these SCC are sensitive to illegal harvesting. Such species have had their names obscured and are listed as sensitive plant unique number / sensitive animal unique number. As per the best practise guideline that accompanies the protocol and screening tool, please, the **name of the sensitive species may not appear in the final EIA report nor any of the specialist reports released into the public domain**. It should be referred to as sensitive plant or sensitive animal and its threat status may be included, e.g. *critically endangered sensitive plant* or *endangered sensitive animal*.

Table 3-3Threatened flora species expected to occur within the PAOI. VU = Vulnerable.

Family	Species	IUCN	Likelihood of Occurrence
Amaryllidaceae	Sensitive species 691	VU	Low

Terrestrial Biodiversity Theme

Roodekrans



Amaryllidaceae	Sensitive species 1251	VU	Low
Apocynaceae	Miraglossum davyi	VU	Low
Apocynaceae	Aspidoglossum xanthosphaerum	VU	Low

3.4 Desktop Ecological Sensitivity

The following is deduced from the National Web-based Environmental Screening Tool Regulation 16(1)(v) of the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations 2014, as amended):

- Terrestrial Biodiversity Theme sensitivity is 'Very High' for the PAOI due to its presence within a CBA 2, NPAES Priority Focus Area, and within a VU ecosystem (Figure 3-1);
- Animal Species Theme sensitivity is 'Medium' for the PAOI owing to the potential occurrence of two (2) mammalian and one (1) avifauna SCC (Figure 3-2); and
- Plant Species Theme sensitivity is 'Medium' for the PAOI owing to the potential occurrence of four (4) flora SCC (Figure 3-3).

Terrestrial Biodiversity Theme

Roodekrans



				172	
					<u>.</u>
					No.
			Pres		A. C.
Λ_{-}					
					1
- Y					
			Service and		
t					
1					
X					
Legend:					
Wery Hig	ati i				
High	-		COMPANY AND LIDER OF	and a listent advances interaction	OFT IN SECTION.
Medium	and the second s		But acreat, with Bat of	decision dona 35 deces, 61	(Collard)
LOW		E S Mile Market	TOTO A 11 V DELEVISION	Property and serves	N N
Low					A
Low					
Low					
t es		. < .			
L		\sim			
Very High se	ensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity	
Very High se	ensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity	
Very High se	ensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity	
Very High se x Sensitivity F	ensitivity eatures:	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity	
Very High se X Sensitivity Fe	ensitivity eatures:	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity	
Very High se X Sensitivity Fe Sensitivity	ensitivity eatures:	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity	
Very High se X Sensitivity Fe Sensitivity Very High	eatures:	High sensitivity (s) Protected Area Expansio	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity	

Figure 3-1 Map depicting the relative terrestrial biodiversity theme sensitivity for the PAOI as generated for by the environmental screening tool.



			16		
1.1			12		
			1 1		
1			1 1		
			Sec. 1		
1010			14		
			eres.		
			1 Carrieron Contractor		
			Consister 7		
1963			Alex March		
Lagendi					
Wery His	nh				
High					
Medium			Sources Esri HERE G Exclusion METLENIC	Immin USGS Internap INCREMEN htma Wong Kongi Eshi Korea Eshi	T P NRCah Thalandi
Low			NGCC. (c) OpenStreed/	ap combutors, and the GIS User C	ommunity
	3.6	O.R. Kölcsmedensk			Ă
1 12					
L					
Where only	2 consitivo	nlant unique oumb	er or sepsitive animal ur	ique oumber is provid	ad in the
Where only	a sensitive	plant unique numb	er or sensitive animal ur	ique number is provid	ed in the
Where only screening re	a sensitive port and a	plant unique numb n assessment is requ	er or sensitive animal ur uired, the environmenta	ique number is provid l assessment practition	ed in the her (EAP)
Where only screening re or specialist	a sensitive port and a is required	plant unique numb n assessment is requ to email SANBI at <u>e</u>	er or sensitive animal ur uired, the environmenta iadatarequests@sanbi.	ique number is provid l assessment practition org.za listing all sensitiv	ed in the her (EAP) ve species
Where only screening re or specialist with their ur	a sensitive port and a is required nique ident	plant unique numb n assessment is requ to email SANBI at <u>e</u> ifiers for which info	er or sensitive animal ur uired, the environmenta iadatarequests@sanbi. rmation is required. The	ique number is provid l assessment practition org.za listing all sensitiv name has been withh	ed in the her (EAP) ve species eld as the
Where only screening re or specialist with their ur species may	a sensitive port and a is required hique ident be prone t	plant unique numbe n assessment is requ to email SANBI at <u>e</u> ifiers for which infor o illegal harvesting a	er or sensitive animal ur uired, the environmenta iadatarequests@sanbi. rmation is required. The and must be protected.	ique number is provid l assessment practition org.za listing all sensitiv name has been withh SANBI will release the	ed in the her (EAP) ve species eld as the actual
Where only screening re or specialist with their ur species may species nam	a sensitive port and an is required nique ident be prone t e after the	plant unique numbe n assessment is requ to email SANBI at <u>e</u> ifiers for which info o illegal harvesting i details of the EAP o	er or sensitive animal ur uired, the environmenta liadatarequests@sanbi.t rmation is required. The and must be protected. r specialist have been d	ique number is provid l assessment practition org.za listing all sensitiv name has been withh SANBI will release the ocumented.	ed in the her (EAP) ve species eld as the actual
Where only screening re or specialist with their ur species may species nam	a sensitive port and an is required nique ident be prone t e after the	plant unique number n assessment is requ to email SANBI at <u>e</u> ifiers for which info o illegal harvesting a details of the EAP o	er or sensitive animal ur uired, the environmenta iadatarequests@sanbi.or rmation is required. The and must be protected. r specialist have been d	ique number is provid l assessment practition org.za listing all sensitiv name has been withh SANBI will release the ocumented.	ed in the her (EAP) ve species eld as the actual
Where only screening re or specialist with their ur species may species nam	a sensitive port and an is required nique ident be prone t e after the ensitivity	plant unique number n assessment is requ to email SANBI at <u>e</u> ifiers for which infor o illegal harvesting a details of the EAP o High sensitivity	er or sensitive animal ur uired, the environmenta iadatarequests@sanbi.or mation is required. The and must be protected. r specialist have been do Medium sensitivity	ique number is provid l assessment practition org.za listing all sensitiv name has been withh SANBI will release the ocumented.	ed in the her (EAP) ve species eld as the actual
Where only screening re or specialist with their ur species may species nam	a sensitive port and an is required nique ident be prone t e after the ensitivity	plant unique number n assessment is requ to email SANBI at <u>e</u> ifiers for which infor o illegal harvesting a details of the EAP o High sensitivity	er or sensitive animal ur uired, the environmenta <u>iadatarequests@sanbi.c</u> rmation is required. The and must be protected. r specialist have been d Medium sensitivity X	ique number is provid l assessment practition org.za listing all sensitiv name has been withh SANBI will release the ocumented.	ed in the her (EAP) ve species eld as the actual
Where only screening re or specialist with their ur species may species nam	a sensitive port and an is required nique ident be prone t e after the ensitivity	plant unique number n assessment is requ to email SANBI at <u>e</u> ifiers for which infor o illegal harvesting a details of the EAP o High sensitivity	er or sensitive animal ur uired, the environmenta <u>iadatarequests@sanbi.c</u> rmation is required. The and must be protected. r specialist have been d Medium sensitivity X	ique number is provid l assessment practition org.za listing all sensitiv name has been withh SANBI will release the ocumented.	ed in the her (EAP) ve species eld as the actual
Where only screening re or specialist with their ur species may species nam Very High se Sensitivity F	a sensitive port and an is required nique ident be prone t e after the ensitivity reatures:	plant unique numbe n assessment is requ to email SANBI at <u>e</u> ifiers for which infor o illegal harvesting a details of the EAP o High sensitivity	er or sensitive animal ur uired, the environmenta <u>iadatarequests@sanbi.c</u> rmation is required. The and must be protected. r specialist have been d Medium sensitivity X	ique number is provid l assessment practition org.za listing all sensitiv name has been withh SANBI will release the ocumented.	ed in the her (EAP) ve species eld as the actual
Where only a screening re or specialist with their ur species may species nam Very High se Sensitivity F	a sensitive port and an is required nique ident be prone t e after the ensitivity eatures:	plant unique numbe n assessment is requ to email SANBI at <u>e</u> ifiers for which infor o illegal harvesting a details of the EAP o High sensitivity	er or sensitive animal ur uired, the environmenta <u>iadatarequests@sanbi.c</u> rmation is required. The and must be protected. r specialist have been d Medium sensitivity X	ique number is provid l assessment practition org.za listing all sensitiv name has been withh SANBI will release the ocumented.	ed in the her (EAP) ve species eld as the actual
Where only a screening re or specialist with their ur species may species nam Very High se Sensitivity F	a sensitive port and an is required hique ident be prone t e after the ensitivity reatures: Feature	plant unique numbe n assessment is requ to email SANBI at <u>e</u> ifiers for which info o illegal harvesting a details of the EAP o High sensitivity	er or sensitive animal ur uired, the environmenta <u>iadatarequests@sanbi.c</u> rmation is required. The and must be protected. r specialist have been d Medium sensitivity X	ique number is provid l assessment practition org.za listing all sensitiv name has been withh SANBI will release the ocumented.	ed in the her (EAP) ve species eld as the actual
Where only a screening re or specialist with their ur species may species nam Very High se Sensitivity F	a sensitive port and an is required nique ident be prone t e after the ensitivity reatures: Features	plant unique number n assessment is requ to email SANBI at <u>e</u> ifiers for which infor o illegal harvesting a details of the EAP o High sensitivity	er or sensitive animal ur uired, the environmenta <u>iadatarequests@sanbi.c</u> rmation is required. The and must be protected. r specialist have been d Medium sensitivity X	ique number is provid l assessment practition org.za listing all sensitiv name has been withh SANBI will release the ocumented.	ed in the her (EAP) ve species eld as the actual
Where only a screening re or specialist with their ur species may species nam Very High se Sensitivity F Sensitivity Medium Medium	a sensitive port and an is required nique ident be prone t e after the ensitivity eatures: Features Aves-Tyto Insecta-Le	plant unique number n assessment is requ to email SANBI at <u>e</u> ifiers for which infor o illegal harvesting a details of the EAP o High sensitivity	er or sensitive animal ur uired, the environmenta <u>iadatarequests@sanbi.c</u> rmation is required. The and must be protected. r specialist have been d Medium sensitivity x	ique number is provid l assessment practition org.za listing all sensitiv name has been withh SANBI will release the ocumented.	ed in the her (EAP) ve species eld as the actual

Figure 3-2 Map depicting the relative animal theme sensitivity for the PAOI as generated for by the environmental screening tool.

5					
14					
17			1.000		
			$\langle \gamma \rangle$		
			1 1		
and the second s					1
1			and a		
1					892
			Pres -		
			1 marsh		
1. 1.			Company 2		
No.			Contraction of the second second		
	_				
Legend:					
Wery Hi	igh				
High				the same second second second	
Medium	n		Escuerar, METUERIC	Inne (Hong Kong), Exh Korea, Esh	Thelendi
Low			NGCC. (c) OpenStreetM	lap contributors and the GIS User C	Sommunity
8		0.9 Kilceleters			ž
					1.1
Where only	a sensitive	plant unique numb	er or sensitive animal ur	nique number is provid	ed in the
Where only screening re	a sensitive	plant unique numb n assessment is requ	er or sensitive animal ur uired, the environmenta	nique number is provid l assessment practition	ed in the her (EAP)
Where only screening re	a sensitive	plant unique numb n assessment is required	er or sensitive animal ur uired, the environmenta	nique number is provid l assessment practition	ed in the her (EAP)
Where only screening re or specialist	a sensitive port and a is required	plant unique numb n assessment is requ to email SANBI at g	er or sensitive animal ur uired, the environmenta eiadatarequests@sanbi.c	nique number is provid I assessment practition org.za Iisting all sensitiv	ed in the her (EAP) ve species
Where only screening re or specialist with their u	a sensitive eport and an is required nique ident	plant unique numb n assessment is requ to email SANBI at <u>s</u> ifiers for which info	er or sensitive animal ur uired, the environmenta eiadatarequests@sanbi.or rmation is required. The	ique number is provid l assessment practition org.za listing all sensitive name has been withh	ed in the her (EAP) ve species eld as the
Where only screening re or specialist with their u species may	a sensitive port and an is required nique ident be prone t	plant unique numb n assessment is requ to email SANBI at <u>s</u> ifiers for which info o illegal harvesting	er or sensitive animal ur uired, the environmenta <u>iadatarequests@sanbi.c</u> rmation is required. The and must be protected.	nique number is provid I assessment practition org.za listing all sensitive name has been withh SANBI will release the	ed in the her (EAP) ve species eld as the actual
Where only screening re or specialist with their u species may species nam	a sensitive port and an is required nique ident be prone t ne after the	plant unique numb n assessment is requ to email SANBI at g ifiers for which info o illegal harvesting details of the EAP of	er or sensitive animal ur uired, the environmenta <u>eiadatarequests@sanbi.c</u> rmation is required. The and must be protected. or specialist have been do	nique number is provid I assessment practition org.za listing all sensitive name has been withh SANBI will release the ocumented.	ed in the her (EAP) ve species eld as the actual
Where only screening re or specialist with their u species may species nam	a sensitive eport and an is required nique ident be prone t he after the	plant unique numb n assessment is requ to email SANBI at <u>c</u> ifiers for which info to illegal harvesting details of the EAP of	er or sensitive animal ur uired, the environmenta <u>eiadatarequests@sanbi.c</u> rmation is required. The and must be protected. or specialist have been do	nique number is provid I assessment practition org.za listing all sensitive name has been withh SANBI will release the ocumented.	ed in the her (EAP) ve species eld as the actual
Where only screening re or specialist with their u species may species nam Very High se	a sensitive eport and an is required nique ident be prone t he after the ensitivity	plant unique numb n assessment is requ to email SANBI at g ifiers for which info o illegal harvesting details of the EAP of High sensitivity	er or sensitive animal ur uired, the environmenta eiadatarequests@sanbi.c rmation is required. The and must be protected. or specialist have been d Medium sensitivity	ique number is provid l assessment practition org.za listing all sensitive name has been withh SANBI will release the ocumented.	ed in the her (EAP) ve species eld as the actual
Where only screening re or specialist with their u species may species nam Very High se	a sensitive eport and an is required nique ident be prone t the after the ensitivity	plant unique numb n assessment is requ to email SANBI at <u>c</u> ifiers for which info o illegal harvesting details of the EAP o High sensitivity	er or sensitive animal ur uired, the environmenta <u>eiadatarequests@sanbi.c</u> rmation is required. The and must be protected. or specialist have been do Medium sensitivity X	ique number is provid l assessment practition org.za listing all sensitive name has been withh SANBI will release the ocumented.	ed in the her (EAP) ve species eld as the actual
Where only screening re or specialist with their u species may species nam Very High se	a sensitive eport and an is required nique ident be prone t he after the ensitivity	plant unique numb n assessment is requ to email SANBI at g ifiers for which info o illegal harvesting details of the EAP o High sensitivity	er or sensitive animal ur uired, the environmenta eiadatarequests@sanbi.c rmation is required. The and must be protected. or specialist have been do Medium sensitivity X	ique number is provid l assessment practition org.za listing all sensitive name has been withh SANBI will release the ocumented.	ed in the her (EAP) ve species eld as the actual
Where only screening re or specialist with their u species may species nam Very High se	a sensitive eport and an is required nique ident be prone t ensitivity Features:	plant unique numb n assessment is requ to email SANBI at g ifiers for which info o illegal harvesting details of the EAP o High sensitivity	er or sensitive animal ur uired, the environmenta eiadatarequests@sanbi.c rmation is required. The and must be protected. or specialist have been do Medium sensitivity X	ique number is provid l assessment practition org.za listing all sensitive name has been withh SANBI will release the ocumented.	ed in the her (EAP) ve species eld as the actual
Where only screening re or specialist with their u species may species nam Very High se Sensitivity F	a sensitive eport and an is required nique ident be prone t ensitivity Features:	plant unique numb n assessment is requ to email SANBI at <u>c</u> ifiers for which info o illegal harvesting details of the EAP o High sensitivity	er or sensitive animal ur uired, the environmenta <u>eiadatarequests@sanbi.c</u> rmation is required. The and must be protected. or specialist have been do <u>Medium sensitivity</u> X	ique number is provid l assessment practition org.za listing all sensitive name has been withh SANBI will release the ocumented.	ed in the her (EAP) ve species eld as the actual
Where only screening re or specialist with their u species may species nam Very High su Sensitivity F	a sensitive eport and an is required nique ident be prone t ne after the ensitivity Features:	plant unique numb n assessment is requ to email SANBI at g ifiers for which info o illegal harvesting details of the EAP o High sensitivity	er or sensitive animal ur uired, the environmenta <u>siadatarequests@sanbi.c</u> rmation is required. The and must be protected. or specialist have been do Medium sensitivity x	ique number is provid l assessment practition org.za listing all sensitiv name has been withh SANBI will release the ocumented.	ed in the her (EAP) ve species eld as the actual
Where only screening re or specialist with their u species may species nam Very High so Sensitivity F	a sensitive eport and an is required nique ident be prone t he after the ensitivity Features:	plant unique numb n assessment is requ to email SANBI at g ifiers for which info o illegal harvesting details of the EAP o High sensitivity	er or sensitive animal ur uired, the environmenta <u>eiadatarequests@sanbi.c</u> rmation is required. The and must be protected. or specialist have been do Medium sensitivity X	ique number is provid l assessment practition org.za listing all sensitiv name has been withh SANBI will release the ocumented.	ed in the her (EAP) ve species eld as the actual
Where only screening re or specialist with their u species may species nam Very High su Sensitivity F Sensitivity Low	a sensitive eport and an is required nique ident be prone t he after the ensitivity Features: Features:	plant unique numb n assessment is requ to email SANBI at g ifiers for which info o illegal harvesting details of the EAP o High sensitivity	er or sensitive animal ur uired, the environmenta <u>eiadatarequests@sanbi.c</u> rmation is required. The and must be protected. or specialist have been do <u>Medium sensitivity</u> x	ique number is provid l assessment practition org.za listing all sensitiv name has been withh SANBI will release the ocumented.	ed in the her (EAP) ve species eld as the actual
Where only screening re or specialist with their u species may species nam Very High su Sensitivity F Sensitivity Low Medium	a sensitive eport and an is required nique ident be prone t he after the ensitivity Features: Features: Low Sensi Sensitive	plant unique numb n assessment is requ ito email SANBI at g ifiers for which info o illegal harvesting details of the EAP o High sensitivity (s) (s) tivity species 1252	er or sensitive animal ur uired, the environmenta <u>eiadatarequests@sanbi.c</u> rmation is required. The and must be protected. or specialist have been do Medium sensitivity X	ique number is provid l assessment practition org.za listing all sensitive name has been with SANBI will release the ocumented.	ed in the her (EAP) ve species eld as the actual
Where only screening re or specialist with their u species may species nam Very High su Sensitivity F Sensitivity Low Medium Medium	a sensitive eport and an is required nique ident / be prone t he after the ensitivity Features: Features: Low Sensi Sensitive Aspidoglo	plant unique numb n assessment is requ to email SANBI at g ifiers for which info o illegal harvesting details of the EAP o High sensitivity (s) tivity species 1252 ssum xanthosphaerum	er or sensitive animal ur uired, the environmenta <u>eiadatarequests@sanbi.c</u> rmation is required. The and must be protected. or specialist have been do Medium sensitivity x	ique number is provid l assessment practition org.za listing all sensitive name has been with SANBI will release the ocumented.	ed in the her (EAP) ve species eld as the actual

Figure 3-3 Map depicting the relative plant theme sensitivity for the PAOI as generated for by the environmental screening tool.



3.5 Biodiversity Field Survey

A summary of the terrestrial field assessment is provided in Table 3-4. The PAOI was surveyed to establish the overall ecological condition of the vegetation and to determine the likelihood of any flora and fauna SCC occurring within the area. Any potential sensitive habitat features were also assessed.

Table 3-4Summary of the field survey conducted within the PAOI.

Habitat	GPS co-ordinates	Description	SEI	Photographs
Transformed Grassland	26°40'58.15"S 29°34'52.69"E; 26°41'3.41"S 29°34'51.39"E	This habitat type is predominantly disturbed and has been impacted by edge effects from modified habitats, as well as impacts associated with historic and ongoing livestock grazing, vegetation clearing, agriculture and infringement. These habitats exist in a constant state of disturbance and cannot recover to a more natural state due to ongoing disturbances and impacts.	Low	
Cropland	N/A	Croplands have little to no remaining natural vegetation due to land transformation attributed to agriculture. These habitats exist in a constant state of disturbance and cannot recover to a more natural state due to ongoing disturbances and impacts.	Low	No corresponding photos of croplands were taken as these fell beyond the proposed development footprint.
Modified	26°41'19.72"S 29°34'48.83"E; 26°41'14.30"S 29°34'52.87"E	The modified areas have little to no remaining natural vegetation due to land transformation attributed to human- induced impacts such as mining and infrastructure development.	Very Low	

3.6 Site Sensitivity Verification

3.6.1 Habitats and Site Ecological Importance (SEI)

Based on the criteria provided in Appendix B of this report, all habitats within the PAOI were assigned a sensitivity category, i.e., a SEI category. Habitats within the PAOI varied in sensitivity from Very Low (i.e., modified habitats) to Low (i.e., disturbed bushveld and cropland habitats) SEI (Table 3-5 & Figure 3-4 Map depicting the Site Ecological Importance (SEI) sensitivity for the PAOI.). The findings of this assessment therefore contradict the findings set forth by the Screening Tool with regards to the combined Terrestrial Biodiversity Theme Sensitivity.

Habitat	Description	Ecosystem Processes and Services	Conservation Importance	Functional Integrity	Biodiversity Importance	Receptor Resilience	Site Ecological Importance
Transformed Grassland	This habitat type is predominantly disturbed and has been impacted by edge effects from modified habitats, as well as impacts associated with historic and ongoing livestock grazing, vegetation clearing, agriculture and infringement. These habitats exist in a constant state of disturbance and cannot recover to a more natural state due to ongoing disturbances and impacts.	Provides limited grazing and foraging resources for indigenous fauna and livestock. Aids in the filtration of water permeating through the soil into the drainage areas. Corridor for fauna dispersion within the landscape.	Low	Low	Low	Medium	Low
Croplands	Croplands have little to no remaining natural vegetation due to land transformation attributed to agriculture. These habitats exist in a constant state of disturbance and cannot recover to a more natural state due to ongoing disturbances and impacts	Despite the lack of indigenous vegetation, croplands attract numerous insect pollinators that, in turn, attract a high diversity of avifauna species. Further, ecosystem services attributed with croplands include carbon storage, and water and nutrient retention.	Low	Low	Low	Medium	Low
Modified	The modified areas have little to no remaining natural vegetation due to land transformation attributed to (predominantly) human expansion and infrastructure development.	The ecological services provided by this habitat are limited due to the extensive cover of impermeable surfaces and the large amount of bare land. Parts of the area may be considered a movement corridor.	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low	Medium	Very Low

 Table 3-5
 Summary of habitat types and associated SEIs delineated within the PAOI.

Terrestrial Biodiversity Theme

Roodekrans





Figure 3-4 Map depicting the Site Ecological Importance (SEI) sensitivity for the PAOI.



Figure 3-5 Map depicting the habitat types defined within the PAOI.

3.6.2 Screening Tool Comparison

The allocated sensitivities for each of the relevant themes are either disputed or validated in Table 3-6 below. A summative explanation for each result is provided as relevant. The specialist-assigned sensitivity ratings are based largely on the SEI process followed in the previous section, and consideration is given to any observed or likely presence of SCC or protected species.

Table 3-6Summary of the screening tool vs specialist assigned sensitivities.

Screening Tool Theme	Screening Tool	Specialist	Tool Validated or Disputed by Specialist - Reasoning			
Terrestrial Theme	Very High	Low	Disputed – Habitat exists in a predominantly modified state with high levels of anthropogenic disturbance that has contributed to an overall loss in ecosystem functionality. Habitat will not recover without human intervention and will continue to degrade over time without rehabilitation. Habitat no longer viable constituent of a CBA2 and VU ecosystem.			
Animal Theme	Medium	Low	Disputed – Habitat exists in a modified and disturbed state with high levels of anthropogenic disturbance. No SCC were observed, and none are expected to occur within the PAOI.			
Plant Theme	Low	Low	Validated – Habitat exists in a degraded state with high levels of anthropogenic disturbance. High numbers of alien and invasive plants are present. No flora SCC were observed, and none are expected to occur within the PAOI.			

the

BIODIVERS

company

The focus of mitigation measures is to reduce the significance of the likely impacts associated with the development, and thereby:

- Prevent the further loss and fragmentation of indigenous vegetation communities within the ecosystem within and around the PAOI;
- Reduce the negative fragmentation effects of the development and facilitate the safe movement of fauna species;
- Prevent the direct and indirect loss and disturbance of flora and fauna species and communities; and
- Adequately follow the guidelines for interpreting the SEI ratings assigned to the PAOI.

Table 4-1 Project specific mitigation measures including requirements for timeframes, roles, and responsibilities.

Management outcome: Vegetation and Habitats							
langet Manager and Antione	Implement	Implementation		Monitoring			
Impact Management Actions	Phase	Responsible Party	Aspect	Frequency			
Areas of indigenous vegetation, even secondary communities outside of the direct project footprint, should under no circumstances be fragmented or disturbed further. It is recommended that areas to be developed be specifically demarcated so that during the construction phase, only the demarcated areas be impacted upon	Life of operation	Project manager, Environmental Officer	Areas of indigenous vegetation (High and Very High SEI Areas)	Ongoing			
All laydown areas, chemical toilets etc. should be restricted to Low SEI areas. No materials may be stored for extended periods of time and must be removed from the PAOI once the construction/closure phase has been concluded.	Construction/Operational Phase	Environmental Officer & Design Engineer	Laydown areas and material storage & placement.	Ongoing			
Areas that are denuded during construction need to be re-vegetated with indigenous vegetation to prevent erosion. This will also reduce the likelihood of encroachment by alien invasive plant species.	Closure Phase/Rehabilitation phase	Environmental Officer & Contractor	Assess the state of rehabilitation and encroachment of alien vegetation	Quarterly for up to two years after the closure			
All footprints to be rehabilitated after construction is complete. Rehabilitation of the disturbed areas existing in the PAOI must be made a priority. Topsoil must also be utilised, and any disturbed area must be re- vegetated with plant and grass species which are endemic to this vegetation type.	Operational Phase	Environmental Officer & Contractor	Footprint rehabilitation	Quarterly monitoring			
A hydrocarbon spill management plan must be put in place to ensure that should there be any chemical spill out or over that it does not run into the surrounding areas. The Contractor shall be in possession of an emergency spill kit that must always be complete and available on site. Drip trays or any form of oil absorbent material must be placed underneath vehicles/machinery and equipment when not in use. No servicing of equipment on site unless necessary. All contaminated soil / yard stone shall be treated in situ or removed and be placed in containers	Life of operation	Environmental Officer & Contractor	Spill events, Vehicles dripping.	Ongoing			
Leaking equipment and vehicles must be repaired immediately or be removed from PAOI to facilitate repair	Life of operation	Environmental Officer & Contractor	Leaks and spills	Ongoing			
It should be made an offence for any staff to /take bring any plant species into/out of any portion of the PAOI without permission. The only exception to this involves the removal of exotic or invasive species from the PAOI, and the introduction of indigenous species for rehabilitation of the PAOI post development. Introductions and removals, however, must be closely monitored to ensure that the correct species are being removed/reintroduced.	Life of operation	Project manager, Environmental Officer	Any instances	Ongoing			
Management out	come: Fauna						
Impact Management Actions	Implement	tation	Monitoring				
	Phase	Responsible Party	Aspect	Frequency			
All construction and maintenance motor vehicle operators should undergo an environmental induction that includes instruction on the need to comply with speed limits, to respect all forms of wildlife. Speed limits must still be enforced to ensure that road killings and erosion is limited.	Life of operation	Health and Safety Officer	Compliance to the training.	Ongoing			
Management outcome: Alien Vegetation							



Impact Management Actions	Implement	tation	Monitoring	
impact management Actions	Phase	Responsible Party	Aspect	Frequency
An Alien Invasive Plant (AIP) Management Plan must be compiled and implemented. This should regularly be updated to reflect the annual changed in AIP composition.	Life of operation	Project manager, Environmental Officer & Contractor	Manage and assess presence and encroachment of alien vegetation	Twice a year
The footprint area of the construction should be kept to a minimum. The footprint area must be clearly demarcated to avoid unnecessary disturbances to adjacent areas. Footprints of the roads must be kept to prescribed widths.	Construction/Operational Phase Project manager, Environmental Officer & Contractor		Footprint Area	Life of operation
Management outcome: Environ	mental awareness training			
Impact Management Actions	Implementation		Monitoring	
impact Management Actions	Phase	Responsible Party	Aspect	Frequency
All personnel and contractors to undergo Environmental Awareness Training. A signed register of attendance must be kept for proof. Discussions are required on sensitive environmental receptors within the PAOI.	Life of operation	Health and Safety Officer	Compliance to the training.	Ongoing

5 Conclusion

The PAOI exists in a predominantly modified state, having been subjected to various anthropogenic impacts such as mining, infrastructure development, pollution, unregulated livestock grazing, agriculture and edge effects associated with the nearby activities. This habitat is unlikely to fully recover without human intervention and will continue to degrade without further active rehabilitation.

The completion of this terrestrial biodiversity assessment led to a dispute of the 'Very High' Terrestrial Biodiversity Theme Sensitivity as set out in the National Environmental Screening Tool. Instead, the PAOI is assigned an overall 'Low' Terrestrial Theme Sensitivity.

5.1 Impact Statement

The location, state and size of the ecosystem suggests that it is unlikely that any functional habitat will be lost because of the impacts arising from the proposed activities. However, these assumptions pertain exclusively to the terrestrial habitat.

5.2 Specialist Opinion

It is the opinion of the specialist stipulated herein that the proposed development is favourable only if all mitigation measures provided in this and other specialist reports are implemented.

5.3 Revised layout of the PAOI

A revised layout of the PAOI was received from the client on the 5th of August 2024 – *post hoc* the completion and submission of the original terrestrial compliance statement. Although slightly disparate to the original layout that has been presented in this report, most of the areas that are indicated in Figure 5-1 were in fact surveyed on the 17th of April 2024. Subsequently, another revised layout was received from the client on 29th of January 2025. This latest revision was necessary due to the identification of a grave within the project area (Figure 5-2).

Consequently, it is the opinion of the specialist stipulated herein that the findings presented in this report are applicable to the newly proposed PAOI. Further, it is highly unlikely that there will be any differences in habitat sensitivities and by extension, species (both fauna and flora) composition between the three PAOI layout designs. Therefore, the findings and mitigation measures set forth in this report apply to the newly proposed PAOI layout.

Terrestrial Biodiversity Theme

Roodekrans





Figure 5-1 Revised layout of the PAOI (received 50/08/2024).



Figure 5-2 Revised layout of the PAOI (received 29/01/2025).

6 References

Bates, M.F., Branch, W.R., Bauer, A.M., Burger, M., Marais, J., Alexander, G.J & de Villiers, M.S. (Eds). 2014. Atlas and Red List of Reptiles of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. Suricata 1. South African Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria.

Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment (DFFE). 2023. SACAD (South Africa Conservation Areas Database) and SAPAD (South Africa Protected Areas Database). http://egis.environment.gov.za.

Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment (DFFE). 2022. National Protected Areas Expansion Strategyhttp://egis.environment.gov.za.

Du Preez, L.H. & Carruthers, V. 2009. A Complete Guide to the Frogs of Southern Africa. Struik Nature, Cape Town.

Fish, L., Mashau, A.C., Moeaha, M.J. & Nembudani, M.T. 2015. Identification Guide to Southern African Grasses: An Identification Manual with Keys, Descriptions, and Distributions. SANBI, Pretoria.

Mucina, L. & Rutherford, M.C. (Eds.). 2006. The vegetation of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. Strelizia 19. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria, South African.

Mucina, L., Rutherford, M.C. & Powrie, L.W. (Eds.). 2007. Vegetation map of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. 1:1 000 000 scale sheet maps. 2nd ed. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria.

Mucina, L., Scott-Shaw, CR., Rutherford, MC., Camp., KGT., Matthews, WS., Powrie, LW and Hoare, DB. Indian Ocean Coastal Belt. IN Mucina, L. & Rutherford, M.C. (Eds.). 2006. The vegetation of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. Strelizia 19. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria, South African.

National Biodiversity Assessment spatial data. 2018. http://bgis.sanbi.org/. Accessed January 2024.

Nel JL, Murray KM, Maherry AM, Petersen CP, Roux DJ, Driver A, Hill L, Van Deventer H, Funke N, Swartz ER, Smith-Adao LB, Mbona N, Downsborough L and Nienaber S. 2011. Technical Report for the National Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas project. WRC Report No. K5/1801.

NEMBA. 2014. Government Gazette, Volume 584. No 37320. www.gpwonline.co.za. Accessed January 2024.

Raimondo, D., von Staden, L., Foden, W., Victor, J.E., Helme, N.A., Turner, R.C., Kamundi, D.A. and Manyama, P.A. 2009. Red List of South African Plants. Strelitzia 25. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria.

SANBI. 2022. Red List of South African Plants version 2020. redlist.sanbi.org (Accessed: May 2023)

SANBI-BGIS. 2017. Technical guidelines for CBA Maps: Guidelines for developing a map of Critical Biodiversity Areas & Ecological Support Areas using systematic biodiversity planning.

SAPAD (South Africa Protected Areas Database) and SACAD (South Africa Conservation Areas Database) (2023). http://egis.environment.gov.za

Skinner, J.D. & Chimimba, C.T. 2005. The Mammals of the Southern African Sub-region. Cambridge University Press, Cape Town.

Skowno, A.L. & Monyeki, M.S. 2021. South Africa's Red List of Terrestrial Ecosystems (RLEs). Land, 10, 1048, 1-14.

Skowno, A.L., Raimondo, D.C., Poole, C.J., Fizzotti, B. & Slingsby, J.A. (eds.). 2019. South African National Biodiversity Assessment 2018 Technical Report Volume 1: Terrestrial Realm. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria.

Taylor A, Cowell C, Drouilly M, Schulze E, Avenant N, Birss C, Child MF. 2016. A conservation assessment of Pelea capreolus. In Child MF, Roxburgh L, Do Linh San E, Raimondo D, Davies-Mostert HT, editors. The Red List of Mammals of South Africa, Swaziland and Lesotho. South African National Biodiversity Institute and Endangered Wildlife Trust, South Africa.

Van Deventer H, Smith-Adao L, Collins NB, Grenfell M, Grundling A, Grundling P-L, Impson D, Job N, Lötter M, Ollis D, Petersen C, Scherman P, Sieben E, Snaddon K, Tererai F. and Van der Colff D. 2019. *South African National Biodiversity Assessment 2018: Technical Report.* Volume 2b: Inland Aquatic (Freshwater) Realm. CSIR report number CSIR/NRE/ECOS/IR/2019/0004/A. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria. http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12143/6230.

7 Appendix Items

7.1 Appendix A: Methods

7.1.1 Desktop Dataset Assessment

7.1.1.1 Ecologically Important Landscape Features

Existing ecologically relevant data layers were incorporated into a GIS to establish how the proposed development might interact with any ecologically important entities. Emphasis was placed around the following spatial datasets:

- National Biodiversity Assessment 2018 (Skowno et al, 2019) The purpose of the National Biodiversity Assessment (NBA) is to assess the state of South Africa's biodiversity based on best available science, with a view to understanding trends over time and informing policy and decision-making across a range of sectors. The NBA deals with all three components of biodiversity: genes, species and ecosystems; and assesses biodiversity and ecosystems across terrestrial, freshwater, estuarine and marine environments. The two headline indicators assessed in the NBA are:
 - Ecosystem Threat Status indicator of an ecosystem's wellbeing, based on the level of change in structure, function or composition. Ecosystem types are categorised as Critically Endangered (CR), Endangered (EN), Vulnerable (VU), Near Threatened (NT) or Least Concern (LC), based on the proportion of the original extent of each ecosystem type that remains in good ecological condition. Red List of Ecosystems (RLE) 2021 The list was first published in 2011 and has since been substantially revised by authors Dr Andrew Skowno and Mrs Maphale Monyeki (SANBI, 2022). This list is based on assessments that followed the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Red List of Ecosystems Framework (version 1.1) and covers all 456 terrestrial ecosystem types described in South Africa by Mucina and Rutherford (2006). A total of 120 of the 456 terrestrial ecosystem types assessed are categorised as threatened and together make up approximately 10% of the remaining natural habitat in the country. Of these 120 ecosystem types, 55 are Critically Endangered (CR), 51 Endangered (EN) and 14 are Vulnerable (VU). The remainder are categorised as Least Concern (LC) (SANBI, 2022; Skowno & Monyeki, 2021).
 - Ecosystem Protection Level indicator of the extent to which ecosystems are adequately protected or under-protected. Ecosystem types are categorised as Well Protected (WP), Moderately Protected (MP), Poorly Protected (PP), or Not Protected (NP), based on the proportion of the biodiversity target for each ecosystem type that is included within one or more protected areas. Not Protected, Poorly Protected or Moderately Protected ecosystem types are collectively referred to as under-protected ecosystems.
- Protected areas:
 - South Africa Protected Areas Database (SAPAD) and South Africa Conservation Areas Database (SACAD) (DFFE, 2023a) The South African Protected Areas Database (SAPAD) and South Africa Conservation Areas Database (SACAD) contains spatial data for the conservation of South Africa. It includes spatial and attribute information for both formally protected areas and areas that have less formal protection. The database is updated on a continuous basis and forms the basis for the Register of Protected Areas which is a legislative requirement under the National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act, Act 57 of 2003.



- National Protected Areas Expansion Strategy (NPAES) (DFFE, 2022b) The National Protected Area Expansion Strategy (NPAES) provides spatial information on areas that are suitable for terrestrial ecosystem protection. These focus areas are large, intact and unfragmented and are therefore, of high importance for biodiversity, climate resilience and freshwater protection.
- Conservation/Biodiversity Sector Plans:
 - The Mpumalanga Critical Biodiversity Areas (CBA) map accounts for terrestrial fauna and flora only. The inclusion of the aquatic component was limited to the Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas (FEPA) catchments (included in the cost layer and for the identification of Ecological Support Areas (ESAs)) and wetland clusters (included in the ESAs only). The areas are subdivided as Critical Biodiversity Areas (CBA1), CBA2, Degraded, ESA1, ESA2, Other and Protected:
 - Critical Biodiversity Areas (CBAs) –Areas considered critical for meeting biodiversity targets and thresholds, and which are required to ensure the persistence of viable populations of species and the functionality of ecosystems.
 - Ecological Support Areas (ESAs) Areas are required to support and sustain the ecological functioning of Critical Biodiversity Areas (CBAs). For terrestrial and aquatic environments, these areas are functional but are not necessarily pristine natural areas. They are however required to ensure the persistence and maintenance of biodiversity patterns and ecological processes within the CBAs, and which also contributes significantly to the maintenance of Ecological Infrastructure.
- Important Bird and Biodiversity Areas (BirdLife South Africa, 2015) Important Bird and Biodiversity Areas (IBAs) constitute a global network of over 13 500 sites, of which 112 sites are found in South Africa. IBAs are sites of global significance for bird conservation, identified through multi-stakeholder processes using globally standardised, quantitative, and scientifically agreed criteria; and
- Freshwater Ecology:
 - Strategic Water Source Areas (SWSAs) (Le Maitre et al, 2018) SWSAs are defined as areas of land that supply a quantity of mean annual surface water runoff in relation to their size and therefore, contribute considerably to the overall water supply of the country. These are key ecological infrastructure assets and the effective protection of surface water SWSAs areas is vital for national security because a lack of water security will compromise national security and human wellbeing.
 - South African Inventory of Inland Aquatic Ecosystems (SAIIAE) (Van Deventer et al, 2018) A South African Inventory of Inland Aquatic Ecosystems (SAIIAE) was established during the National Biodiversity Assessment of 2018. It is a collection of data layers that represent the extent of river and inland wetland ecosystem types as well as pressures on these systems.
 - National Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Area (NFEPA) (Nel et al., 2011) The NFEPA database provides strategic spatial priorities for conserving the country's freshwater ecosystems and associated biodiversity as well as supporting sustainable use of water resources.

7.2 Appendix B: Terrestrial Site Ecological Importance

The different habitat types within the PAOI were delineated and identified based on observations made during the field survey, and information from available satellite imagery. These habitat types were assigned Ecological Importance (EI) categories based on their ecological integrity, conservation value, the presence of SCC and their ecosystem processes.

Site Ecological Importance (SEI) is a function of the Biodiversity Importance (BI) of the receptor (e.g., SCC, the vegetation/fauna community or habitat type present in the Project Area) and Receptor Resilience (RR) (its resilience to impacts).

BI is a function of Conservation Importance (CI) and the Functional Integrity (FI) of the receptor. The criteria for the CI and FI ratings are provided in Table 7-1 and Table 7-2 respectively.

Conservation Importance	Fulfilling Criteria				
Very High	Confirmed or highly likely occurrence of Critically Endangered (CR), Endangered (EN), Vulnerable (VU) or Extremely Rare or CR species that have a global extent of occurrence (EOO) of < 10 km ² . Any area of natural habitat of a CR ecosystem type or large area (> 0.1% of the total ecosystem type extent) of				
,	natural habitat of an EN ecosystem type. Globally significant populations of congregatory species (> 10% of global population).				
	Confirmed or highly likely occurrence of CR, EN, VU species that have a global EOO of > 10 km ² . IUCN threatened species (CR, EN, VU) must be listed under any criterion other than A.				
High	If listed as threatened only under Criterion A, include if there are less than 10 locations or < 10 000 mature individuals remaining.				
nigii	Small area (> 0.01% but < 0.1% of the total ecosystem type extent) of natural habitat of EN ecosystem type or large area (> 0.1%) of natural habitat of VU ecosystem type.				
	Presence of Rare species. Globally significant populations of congregatory species (> 1% but < 10% of global population).				
	Confirmed or highly likely occurrence of populations of Near Threatened (NT) species, threatened species (CR, EN, VU) listed under Criterion A only and which have more than 10 locations or more than 10 000 mature				
Medium	individuals.				
	Presence of range-restricted species.				
	> 50% of receptor contains natural habitat with potential to support SCC.				
	No confirmed or highly likely populations of SCC.				
Low	No confirmed or highly likely populations of range-restricted species.				
	No confirmed and highly unlikely populations of SCC.				
Very Low	No confirmed and highly unlikely populations of range-restricted species.				
•	No natural habitat remaining.				

Table 7-1Summary of Conservation Importance (CI) criteria.

the BIODIVERSITY company

Roodekrans

Table 7-2	Summary of Functional Integrity (FI) criteria.
-----------	--

Functional Integrity	Fulfilling Criteria				
	Very large (> 100 ha) intact area for any conservation status of ecosystem type or > 5 ha for CR ecosystem types				
Very High	High habitat connectivity serving as functional ecological corridors, limited road network between intact habitat patches.				
	No or minimal current negative ecological impacts, with no signs of major past disturbance.				
	Large (> 20 ha but < 100 ha) intact area for any conservation status of ecosystem type or > 10 ha for EN ecosystem types.				
High	Good habitat connectivity, with potentially functional ecological corridors and a regularly used road network between intact habitat patches.				
	Only minor current negative ecological impacts, with no signs of major past disturbance and good rehabilitation potential.				
	Medium (> 5 ha but < 20 ha) semi-intact area for any conservation status of ecosystem type or > 20 ha for VU				
	ecosystem types.				
Medium	Only narrow corridors of good habitat connectivity or larger areas of poor habitat connectivity and a busy used road network between intact habitat patches.				
	Mostly minor current negative ecological impacts, with some major impacts and a few signs of minor past disturbance. Moderate rehabilitation potential.				
	Small (> 1 ha but < 5 ha) area.				
	Almost no habitat connectivity but migrations still possible across some modified or degraded natural habitat				
Low	and a very busy used road network surrounds the area.				
	Low rehabilitation potential.				
	Several minor and major current negative ecological impacts.				
	Very small (< 1 ha) area.				
Very Low	No habitat connectivity except for flying species or flora with wind-dispersed seeds.				
	Several major current negative ecological impacts.				

BI can be derived from a simple matrix of CI and FI as provided in Table 7-3.

Table 7-3	Matrix used to derive Biodiversity Importance (BI) from Functional Integrity (FI)
	and Conservation Importance (CI).

Piedivor	Die diversite here enternee		Conservation Importance					
Biodiversity importance		Very High	High	Medium	Low	Very Low		
ity	Very High	Very High	Very High	High	Medium	Low		
ntegri	High	Very High	High	Medium	Medium	Low		
nal Ir	Medium	High	Medium	Medium	Low	Very Low		
nctio	Low	Medium	Medium	Low	Low	Very Low		
μ	Very Low	Medium	Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low		



the

BIODIVERS

company

Resilience	Fulfilling Criteria
Very High	Habitat that can recover rapidly (~ less than 5 years) to restore > 75% of the original species composition and functionality of the receptor functionality, or species that have a very high likelihood of: (i) remaining at a site even when a disturbance or impact is occurring, or (ii) returning to a site once the disturbance or impact has been removed.
High	Habitat that can recover relatively quickly (~ 5–10 years) to restore > 75% of the original species composition and functionality of the receptor functionality, or species that have a high likelihood of: (i) remaining at a site even when a disturbance or impact is occurring, or (ii) returning to a site once the disturbance or impact has been removed.
Medium	Will recover slowly (~ more than 10 years) to restore > 75% of the original species composition and functionality of the receptor functionality, or species that have a moderate likelihood of: (i) remaining at a site even when a disturbance or impact is occurring, or (ii) returning to a site once the disturbance or impact has been removed.
Low	Habitat that is unlikely to be able to recover fully after a relatively long period: > 15 years required to restore ~ less than 50% of the original species composition and functionality of the receptor functionality, or species that have a low likelihood of: (i) remaining at a site even when a disturbance or impact is occurring, or (ii) returning to a site once the disturbance or impact has been removed.
Very Low	Habitat that is unable to recover from major impacts, or species that are unlikely to: (i) remain at a site even when a disturbance or impact is occurring, or (ii) return to a site once the disturbance or impact has been removed.

Table 7-4Summary of Receptor Resilience (RR) criteria.

After the determination of BI and RR, the SEI can be ascertained using the matrix as provided in Table 7-5.

Table 7-5Matrix used to derive Site Ecological Importance from Receptor Resilience (RR)
and Biodiversity Importance (BI).

Site Ecological Importance		Biodiversity Importance					
		Very High	High	Medium	Low	Very Low	
e	Very Low	Very High	Very High	High	Medium	Low	
ceptor Resilien	Low	Very High	Very High	High	Medium	Very Low	
	Medium	Very High	High	Medium	Low	Very Low	
	High	High	Medium	Low	Very Low	Very Low	
Re	Very High	Medium	Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low	

Interpretation of the SEI in the context of the proposed project is provided in Table 7-6.

Table 7-6Guideline for interpreting Site Ecological Importance in the context of proposed
activities.

Site Ecological Importance	Interpretation in relation to proposed development activities
Very High	Avoidance mitigation – no destructive development activities should be considered. Offset mitigation not acceptable/not possible (i.e., last remaining populations of species, last remaining good condition patches of ecosystems/unique species assemblages). Destructive impacts for species/ecosystems where persistence target remains.
High	Avoidance mitigation wherever possible. Minimisation mitigation – changes to project infrastructure design to limit the amount of habitat impacted, limited development activities of low impact acceptable. Offset mitigation may be required for high impact activities.
Medium	Minimisation and restoration mitigation – development activities of medium impact acceptable followed by appropriate restoration activities.
Low	Minimisation and restoration mitigation – development activities of medium to high impact acceptable followed by appropriate restoration activities.
Very Low	Minimisation mitigation – development activities of medium to high impact acceptable and restoration activities may not be required.

The SEI evaluated for each taxon can be combined into a single multi-taxon evaluation of SEI for the assessment area. Either a combination of the maximum SEI for each receptor should be applied, or the SEI may be evaluated only once per receptor but for all necessary taxa simultaneously. For the latter, justification of the SEI for each receptor is based on the criteria that conforms to the highest CI and FI, and the lowest RR across all taxa.

7.3 Appendix C – Specialist Declaration of Independence

I, Gareth Walker, declare that:

I act as the independent specialist in this application;

I will perform the work relating to the application in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the applicant;

I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing such work;

I have expertise in conducting the specialist report relevant to this application, including knowledge of the Act, regulations and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity;

I will comply with the Act, regulations and all other applicable legislation;

I have no, and will not engage in, conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity;

I undertake to disclose to the applicant and the competent authority all material information in my possession that reasonably has or may have the potential of influencing any decision to be taken with respect to the application by the competent authority; and the objectivity of any report, plan or document to be prepared by myself for submission to the competent authority;

All the particulars furnished by me in this form are true and correct; and

I realise that a false declaration is an offence in terms of Regulation 71 and is punishable in terms of Section 24F of the Act.

Gareth Walker Biodiversity Specialist The Biodiversity Company May 2024

Terrestrial Biodiversity Theme

Roodekrans



I, Andrew Husted, declare that:

I act as the independent specialist in this application;

I will perform the work relating to the application in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the applicant;

I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing such work;

I have expertise in conducting the specialist report relevant to this application, including knowledge of the Act, regulations and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity;

I will comply with the Act, regulations and all other applicable legislation;

I have no, and will not engage in, conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity;

I undertake to disclose to the applicant and the competent authority all material information in my possession that reasonably has or may have the potential of influencing any decision to be taken with respect to the application by the competent authority; and the objectivity of any report, plan or document to be prepared by myself for submission to the competent authority;

All the particulars furnished by me in this form are true and correct; and

I realise that a false declaration is an offence in terms of Regulation 71 and is punishable in terms of Section 24F of the Act.

Hat

Andrew Husted Ecologist The Biodiversity Company May 2024

7.4 Appendix D – Specialist CVs

Gareth Walker BSC, MSc, PhD

Cell: +27 83 572 5371

Email: gareth@thebiodiversitycompany.com Identity Number: 9111095050080 Date of birth: 09 November 1991

Profile Summary

Work experience throughout South Africa and Angola.

Extensive experience working in Limpopo and Mpumalanga assessing the various ecological drivers of terrestrial ungulates.

Experience with wildlife management and ecological monitoring in the Kruger National Park and surrounding private reserves.

Areas of Interest

Zoology, Ecology, Biodiversity, Conservation and Invasion Ecology.

Key Experience

- Terrestrial Ecological Assessments
- Monitoring programmes
- Field work and research
- Species distribution modelling

Country Experience

South Africa, Angola

the BIODIVERSITY company



Nationality

South African

Languages

English – Proficient Afrikaans – Proficient

Zulu – Basic

Qualifications

- PhD Zoology, Rhodes University
- MSc Botany Invasion Ecology, Stellenbosch University
- BSc (Hons) Conservation Ecology, Stellenbosch University
- SANASP (Application Pending)

Andrew Husted M.Sc Aquatic Health (*Pr Sci Nat*)

Cell: +27 81 319 1225 Email: andrew@thebiodiversitycompany.com Identity Number: 7904195054081

Date of birth: 19 April 1979

Profile Summary

Working experience throughout South Africa, West and Central Africa and also Armenia & Serbia.

Specialist experience in exploration, mining, engineering, hydropower, private sector and renewable energy.

Experience with project management for national and international multi-disciplinary projects.

Specialist guidance, support and facilitation for the compliance with legislative processes, for incountry requirements, and international lenders.

Specialist expertise include Instream Flow and Ecological Water Requirements, Freshwater Ecology, Terrestrial Ecology and also Ecosystem Services.

Areas of Interest

Sustainability and Conservation.

Instream Flow and Ecological Water Requirements.

Publication of scientific journals and articles.

Key Experience

- World Bank, Equator Principles and the International Finance Corporation requirements
- Environmental, Social and Health Impact Assessments (ESHIA)
- Environmental Management Programmes (EMP)
- Ecological Water Requirement determination experience
- Wetland delineations and ecological assessments
- Rehabilitation Plans and Monitoring
- Fish population structure assessments
- The use of macroinvertebrates to determine water quality.
- Aquatic Ecological Assessments
- Aquaculture

Country Experience

Angola, Botswana, Cameroon Democratic Republic of Congo Ghana, Ivory Coast, Lesotho Liberia, Mali, Mauritius, Mozambique Nigeria, Republic of Armenia, Senegal, Serbia, Sierra Leone, South Africa Tanzania



Nationality

South African

Languages

English – Proficient

Afrikaans – Conversational

German - Basic

Qualifications

- MSc (University of Johannesburg) – Aquatic Health.
- BSc Honours (Rand Afrikaans University) – Aquatic Health
- BSc Natural Science
- Pr Sci Nat (400213/11)
- Certificate of Competence: Mondi Wetland Assessments
- Certificate of Competence: Wetland WET-Management
- SASS 5 (Expired) Department of Water Affairs and Forestry for the River Health Programme
- EcoStatus application for rivers and streams

Signed:





Hat

Andrew Husted